

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

**Filed 8/30/96**

---

FELIPE A. RIVERA,  
Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

SANDIA NATIONAL  
LABORATORIES,  
Defendant-Appellee.

No. 96-2012  
(D.C. No. CIV-92-1264-MV)  
(D. N.M.)

---

ORDER AND JUDGMENT\*

---

Before BRORBY, BARRETT, and EBEL, Circuit Judges.

---

After examining the briefs and appellate record, this panel has determined unanimously that oral argument would not materially assist the determination of this appeal. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a); 10th Cir. R. 34.1.9. The case is therefore ordered submitted without oral argument.

---

\* This order and judgment is not binding precedent, except under the doctrines of law of the case, res judicata, and collateral estoppel. The court generally disfavors the citation of orders and judgments; nevertheless, an order and judgment may be cited under the terms and conditions of 10th Cir. R. 36.3.

Plaintiff appeals from a judgment entered on a jury verdict against him on his various employment-related claims. He challenges discovery, evidentiary and other rulings by the district court and seeks a new trial. He was represented by counsel in the district court, but proceeds pro se on appeal.

Despite the liberal construction we give to pro se filings, an appellant's pro se status does not excuse his obligation to comply with the fundamental requirements of appellate procedure. Ogden v. San Juan County, 32 F.3d 452, 455 (10th Cir. 1994), cert. denied, 115 S. Ct. 750 (1995). In his four-page appellate brief, plaintiff makes only conclusory allegations of errors by the district court. He provides neither citations to the record nor citations to legal authority to support his positions. Moreover, he has not provided us with a transcript of his trial. Under these circumstances, we cannot consider his arguments on appeal. See Murrell v. Shalala, 43 F.3d 1388, 1389 n.2 (10th Cir. 1994)(perfunctory arguments failing to frame and develop an issue insufficient to invoke appellate review); Brownlee v. Lear Siegler Management Servs. Corp., 15 F.3d 976, 977 (10th Cir.)(conclusory reference to district court error without citation to legal authority not adequate appellate argument), cert. denied, 114 S. Ct. 2743 (1994); United States v. Vasquez, 985 F.2d 491, 495 (10th Cir. 1993)(party's failure to file trial transcript waives sufficiency of the evidence issues and precludes review of evidentiary rulings); SEC v. Thomas, 965 F.2d

825, 827 (10th Cir. 1992)(court will not sift through record to find support for appellant's arguments).

AFFIRMED. The mandate shall issue forthwith.

Entered for the Court

James E. Barrett  
Senior Circuit Judge